Kingdom Vision Special Report

Editor: Orlando Mostert
www.kingdomvision.co.za

Pentecostal-Charismatic Christianity : Historic Roots…and Where Now?

Let me begin with a question that has challenged serious Pentecostal-Charismatic believers for more than a generation. When the late John Wimber stood before his fledgling congregation in the early 1980s and asked, "When do we get to do the stuff?" he was not being irreverent. He was being prophetically honest. He was asking the same question that ought to rattle the foundations of our theology classrooms, our pulpits, and our prayer meetings today: What does it mean to "do the stuff" of the Kingdom of God in the 21st Century?

Wimber had a gift for bridging what seemed like irreconcilable theological territories. He took the end-times pessimism of classical Dispensationalism with its insistence that "of the end times upon us" and pressed it up against a more expansive, hope-filled eschatology of the Kingdom that is "already here and not yet fully come." In doing so, he gave permission for a whole generation of evangelicals to reach out and plan for a long term future for the church with an expanded vision of "here but not yet" so lets get on with the "not yet"..

It is time for us to redefine for our generation what is the reality and expression of the anointing of the Holy Spirit as a Charismatic community of the declaration that Jesus made in Luke 4:18-19 "The Spirit of the Lord is upon me……" Beyond speaking in tongues and the miracles of the New Testament church what does the ongoing Baptism of the Holy Spirit define for us in the 21st Century with its challenges..

To start that conversation we need to know where we came from. So we can build on our foundation. We need to celebrate what God has done through us. And we need the courage to ask the most important question of our time: What does it mean to "do the stuff" of the Kingdom in the twenty-first century? The answer will require us to honor our heritage without being imprisoned by it. It will require theological memory and prophetic imagination. May the Holy Spirit who first ignited this movement be our guide.

> *"And it shall come to pass in the last days, saith God, I will pour out of my Spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, and your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams." — Acts 2:17 (KJV)*

Chapter One: The Staggering Scope of What God Has Done

Before we can discuss where we are going, we must stand still long enough to comprehend where we already are. The numbers alone ought to produce in us a profound doxology. As of 2020, the global Pentecostal-Charismatic community numbered approximately 650 million souls — and credible projections suggest that by 2050, that number could approach one billion, representing nearly one-third of all Christians on earth.

Let that settle in for a moment. One-third of global Christianity. In the span of roughly 120 years — little more than five generations — a movement that began in poverty, was mocked by the established church, and was largely dismissed by the academy, has become a world-transforming force of incalculable proportions.

Geographically, the center of gravity of this movement has shifted dramatically southward and eastward. Brazil, the United States, and Nigeria now host the largest P-C populations in the world, with Brazil leading by a margin of over forty million believers. If present trajectories hold, the two dominant expressions of global Christianity in the mid-twenty-first century will be Roman Catholicism and Pentecostal-Charismatic Christianity — with significant and growing overlap between the two through the Catholic Charismatic Renewal. This is not a footnote to church history. This is the next Christendom.

I want you to feel the weight of this. We are not studying a peripheral movement. We are studying what is arguably the most significant expression of Christian vitality in the modern era. We are studying ourselves. And that is precisely why we must study carefully.

The challenge of defining our own movement has been with us since sociologists and historians first attempted to map it in the 1960s and 1970s. One early debate was simply about origins: Should we identify a single fountainhead, or acknowledge multiple independent streams? And how do we classify what we see — in what categories? Early analyses proposed the language of "waves" — a first Pentecostal wave, a second Charismatic wave, a third wave of the Spirit — but more recent scholarship, following scholars like Todd Johnson, has moved toward the language of "types," recognizing that the wave metaphor can actually obscure as much as it reveals about the movement's complex, overlapping nature.

These definitional questions are not merely academic. They have real pastoral consequences. When a sociologist misidentifies the evangelical strategist C. Peter Wagner as a Pentecostal — when in fact he was an evangelical who became Charismatic in the 1980s and Neocharismatic by the 2000s — it reveals how even educated observers can misread the landscape. How much more, then, do ordinary believers within the movement need to understand their own tradition? Ignorance of our own history is not humility. It is vulnerability.

Chapter Two: Our Origins — Planted in Holiness, Watered by the Spirit

Every great river has its source, and the Pentecostal-Charismatic (P-C) river has its source in the radical holiness movement of the late nineteenth century — specifically, in the period historians call the Progressive Era, roughly 1890 to 1920. To understand Azusa Street, you must first understand Methodism. To understand the baptism of the Holy Spirit as our forebears experienced it, you must first understand the doctrine of entire sanctification as John Wesley preached it.

P-C Christianity emerged between 1900 and 1910 from what scholars have called the "radical stream" of the holiness movement. This radical stream was itself a protest movement — a prophetic critique aimed at denominational Methodism, which by the late nineteenth century had, in the estimation of many earnest believers, become prosperous, spiritually nominal, and culturally accommodated. The radicals said: we must come out from this compromise and build new structures that honor the full work of the Holy Spirit.

Notice how familiar this sounds to us as Pentecostals! The instinct to stand apart from the compromised establishment, to seek something more authentic and Spirit-empowered — this is in our blood. It goes back further than 1906. It goes back to Wesley himself, who mobilized the laity in ways that scandalized the respectable church of his day.

The Wesleyan Seedbed

A careful survey of the early P-C movement's leadership reveals an overwhelmingly Wesleyan pedigree. Aimee Semple McPherson, who would go on to found the International Church of the Foursquare Gospel, was shaped by her Salvation Army roots in Canada. William Wade Harris, the extraordinary West African prophet who sparked one of the most remarkable indigenous revivals in history, came out of Liberian Methodism. Minnie Abrams, whose ministry in India was pivotal to the early spread of Pentecostal fire, had served in the Methodist deaconess movement. Sarah Jane Lancaster in Australia was formed by Methodist piety. Charles Harrison Mason, founder of the Church of God in Christ — today one of the largest Pentecostal denominations in America — was first a holiness preacher before receiving his Spirit baptism.

This is not coincidental. The global Wesleyan movement, with its insistence on the availability of divine grace for the transformation of the whole person, became the theological soil in which early Pentecostalism took root and flourished. The doctrine of entire sanctification — the belief that the Holy Spirit could cleanse the believer from the inner corruption of sin — created a spiritual hunger for "more" of God that the baptism of the Spirit, with its accompanying sign of tongues, seemed to satisfy. One must not read this history selectively. Our roots are deep in the river of evangelical and Wesleyan Christianity.

Azusa Street: Hub, Not Sole Origin

Perhaps the most important historical correction we can make — and it is one that both honors Azusa Street and prevents us from idolizing it — is to understand its proper role in our movement. Azusa Street was not the single origin of global Pentecostalism. It was the hub. And there is an important difference.

Think of the early P-C movement as an airline network. Individual airlines — denominations, ministerial associations, independent congregations — fill out the network. But a hub is where the most traffic intersects, where the greatest exchange of people and ideas occurs, where the network coalesces into something recognizable. Azusa Street was that hub. William J. Seymour, the son of formerly enslaved parents, the man who received his training from Charles Parham but transcended his teacher's racial limitations, functioned as what one scholar has aptly called the "air traffic controller" of this global network — directing the flow of revival influence in and out of the mission on Azusa Street in Los Angeles.

Yet other centers functioned as significant hubs in their own right. The Mukti Mission in India, under Pandita Ramabai, had experienced extraordinary revival phenomena. The Hebden Mission in Toronto was a center of P-C activity in Canada. The Sunderland meetings in England connected the revival to the British Isles and through Britain to the Empire. As Azusa eventually waned as a center, other revival hubs arose to take its place. Toronto in the 1990s. Brownsville in Pensacola. Hillsong in Sydney. Yoido Full Gospel Church in Seoul, South Korea, which grew to become the largest congregation in the history of Christianity. Each of these functioned as a hub from which the Spirit's fire spread outward.

The lesson for us today is theological and missiological: God is not limited to one address. The Spirit, like the wind of John 3:8, blows where He wills. Our job is not to defend a particular headquarters but to remain genuinely open to recognizing where the Spirit is moving — even when it looks different from the last time.

> *"The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the sound thereof, but canst not tell whence it cometh, and whither it goeth: so is every one that is born of the Spirit." — John 3:8 (KJV)*

Chapter Three: What Makes Us Who We Are — The Core of P-C Identity

One of the great gifts of serious historical and theological reflection is that it helps us distinguish between the essential and the incidental — between what is at the very heart of our identity and what is merely cultural expression or historical accident. When we understand the core of P-C Christianity, we are freed both to hold it firmly and to allow the Spirit to express it in new and unexpected forms.

Scholars who have studied the movement most carefully have identified three interlocking features that together constitute its common core: P-C Christianity is a non-conformist tradition, a spiritual tradition, and a renewal and missionary tradition. These three dimensions are not separate compartments — they mutually reinforce one another in a dynamic unity.

A Non-Conformist Tradition

Our movement is constitutionally non-conformist. We have never been entirely at home in the established order — religious, social, or cultural. This is not a personality defect. It is a theological inheritance. John Wesley himself was refused the pulpits of the established church and took to preaching in open fields. The early Pentecostals were thrown out of their holiness congregations when they began to speak in tongues. Non-conformity is in our DNA.

This non-conformity manifests in several important ways. First, it has always expressed itself as a protest against religious nominalism — the hollow formalism of churches that possess the form of godliness but deny its power (2 Timothy 3:5). P-C Christianity is constitutionally allergic to religiosity. We want encounter, not mere ceremony. We want the living God, not a theological description of Him.

Second, our non-conformity has made us remarkable cultural adapters. The movement has shown an extraordinary ability to inhabit and transform folk cultures around the world. One of the most underappreciated contributions of early Pentecostalism to world culture is its role in shaping popular music — from the blues and jazz and gospel traditions of the Black church, to early rock and roll, to the global explosion of contemporary praise and worship music. When you hear a worship team in Lagos or São Paulo or Seoul leading thousands in Spirit-filled adoration, you are witnessing this cultural adaptability in action.

Third, non-conformity reinforces what is perhaps the most distinctive feature of our tradition: an encounter-driven understanding of salvation. We resist the reduction of Christianity to mere intellectual assent or liturgical performance. We insist that the Christian life must be experiential — marked by genuine, transforming encounters with the

living God. This is not anti-intellectual. It is fiercely anti-superficial. We want people to know God, not merely know about Him.

A Spiritual Tradition

P-C Christianity is fundamentally a spiritual tradition rather than primarily a confessional one. Other traditions have expressed their deepest convictions through lengthy doctrinal standards — the Westminster Confession, the Augsburg Confession, the Thirty-Nine Articles. We have expressed ours through testimony, prayer, worship, and the narration of personal encounter with God. This is not a weakness. It is a missional strength, particularly in a postmodern world where authenticity and personal experience are prized above all else.

At the heart of this spiritual tradition is the understanding of salvation as a journey — a dynamic, ongoing process rather than a completed transaction. The new birth is not the destination; it is the beginning. From there, the Spirit leads the believer through sanctification, through empowerment, through ongoing transformation into the image of Christ. This journey has both a positive and a negative dimension: positively, it is about formation into Christ-likeness; negatively, it is about deliverance from the enslaving power of sin, death, and the demonic.

It is important to understand why P-C Christians speak so readily about spiritual warfare and demonic oppression. It is not superstition or medieval residue. It flows directly from our understanding of salvation as comprehensive deliverance. We see the world through a biblical lens that recognizes a genuine continuum from spiritual oppression to psychological bondage to physical disease. When we pray for healing — whether of body, soul, or spirit — we are expressing the conviction that Jesus came to make people whole, not merely to provide theological categories about wholeness.

This also explains why the theology of divine healing is so central to our tradition. To pray for physical healing is not to abandon medicine or to engage in magical thinking. It is to insist, with the whole biblical witness, that human bodies matter to God — that salvation is not merely about the immortal soul escaping a wicked material world, but about the redemption of the whole person. The language of "blessing" and "provision" and "victorious living" that pervades P-C Christianity springs from this fundamentally positive, resurrection-shaped view of human existence.

Perhaps most importantly, P-C Christianity is a narrative tradition. Its theology is told in stories — the story of Scripture, the story of the church, and the personal story of the believer's encounter with God, all woven together into a seamless whole. The Exodus is not merely a past event; it is replicated in the life of every believer who passes from slavery to freedom through the blood of Christ. There is a historical Pentecost, an ecclesial Pentecost observed in the church calendar, and a personal Pentecost experienced in Spirit baptism. This multilayered, narrative way of doing theology is one of the reasons P-C Christianity resonates so powerfully across cultures and among those who might never sit comfortably in a seminary classroom.

> *"And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony." — Revelation 12:11 (KJV)*

A Renewal and Missionary Tradition

The third dimension of our core identity is both ecclesial and missiological. P-C Christianity has always understood itself as a renewal movement — called to breathe fresh

life into the church universal and to carry the Gospel to the ends of the earth. This self-understanding is grounded in a distinctive historical paradigm that scholars call restorationism: the belief that the church fell from its apostolic vitality, and that the Holy Spirit is now restoring what was lost.

There is something powerfully right about this instinct, even if it must be held with appropriate theological nuance. The early church described in the Acts of the Apostles — with its signs and wonders, its Spirit-filled preaching, its miraculous healings and deliverances, its explosive growth across ethnic and geographic boundaries — was not an anomaly to be explained away. It was the norm to be recovered. When Pentecostals stood up in the early twentieth century and declared that God had not changed and that the gifts of the Spirit had not ceased, they were making a bold theological claim that continues to bear fruit around the world.

The missionary dimension of our tradition is equally foundational. From the very beginning, Spirit baptism was understood not merely as a personal blessing but as equipment for witness. The endowment of power described in Acts 1:8 was power for the task of being Christ's witnesses to the ends of the earth. Eschatology drove this missionary impulse with particular urgency: if the return of Christ was imminent, then every moment counted, every soul mattered, and the charismatic gifts of the Spirit were tools for the harvest.

Even as premillennial urgency has modulated somewhat in various parts of the movement, the missional fire has not gone out. The Holy Spirit has brought the future into the present — heaven and earth collide in genuine Pentecostal worship and ministry. This eschatological dimension helps explain why leaders like Bill Johnson speak of "heaven on earth" — not as a denial of future eschatology, but as an insistence that the Kingdom is already breaking in through the Spirit's power.

Chapter Four: One River, Many Streams — Our Diversity

One of the perennial temptations for any movement that believes it has recovered something important is to define itself too narrowly — to mistake one cultural or theological expression of the core for the core itself. The Pentecostal-Charismatic movement has been remarkably resistant to this temptation, not always by wisdom and design, but often by the sheer diversity that the Spirit has produced within it. Understanding this diversity is essential for navigating our present moment and our future.

The movement can be analyzed along two axes: theological and organizational. Theologically, scholars have broadly identified three streams: classical Pentecostals, Charismatics, and Neocharismatics. Organizationally, the movement operates through three forms: denominations, ministerial associations and networks, and independent congregations. The critical mistake made by both critics and well-meaning insiders is to conflate these categories — to assume, for instance, that all Neocharismatics are anti-institutional, or that all classical Pentecostals are denominational. The reality is far more fluid and interesting.

Classical Pentecostals

Classical Pentecostalism emerged directly from the revival fires of the early 1900s. Its hallmark theological commitment is to Spirit baptism as a distinct, subsequent experience to conversion, typically — though not universally — accompanied by the initial physical evidence of speaking in tongues. Denominationally, this tradition is represented by

bodies such as the Assemblies of God, the Church of God (Cleveland, Tennessee), and the Church of God in Christ, among many others globally.

An important early theological division within classical Pentecostalism concerned the doctrine of the Godhead. The so-called "Oneness" controversy produced denominations like the United Pentecostal Church and the Pentecostal Assemblies of the World, which rejected Trinitarian baptismal formulas in favor of baptism "in Jesus' name only." While this represents a significant theological division — one that orthodox evangelical theology rightly identifies as problematic — it did not sever the spiritual core of the movement. Oneness Pentecostals retained the experiential and missional dimensions that define P-C identity.

Charismatics

The Charismatic stream encompasses those parts of the movement that encountered P-C spirituality but did not adopt tongues as the necessary initial evidence of Spirit baptism, and/or who expressed the charismatic dimensions of Christian life within existing confessional and denominational structures. This is a broader and more theologically diverse stream than is commonly recognized.

One of the most fascinating — and largely forgotten — early examples is the Christian and Missionary Alliance under A.B. Simpson, which maintained strong commitments to divine healing and other gifts long before the formal beginning of the Charismatic Renewal in the 1960s. Healing evangelist F.F. Bosworth began as a Pentecostal in the Assemblies of God but departed over the tongues issue, becoming in effect an early Charismatic.

The formal emergence of Charismatic renewal within mainline Protestantism and Roman Catholicism in the 1960s and 1970s brought this stream into its fullest flowering. Lutheran Charismatics like Larry Christenson, Reformed Charismatics like J. Rodman Williams, and Catholic Charismatics like Kevin Ranaghan all found ways to integrate the experiential power of P-C spirituality within their inherited theological frameworks. This was — and remains — a genuine gift to the ecumenical body of Christ.

Neocharismatics

The Neocharismatic stream is the most recent and in many ways the most complex dimension of the movement. It encompasses those expressions of P-C Christianity that have not only departed from tongues as initial evidence but have developed the movement's core themes in ways that create genuinely novel theological terrain — sometimes fruitfully, sometimes dangerously.

The prosperity theology that developed through the healing evangelists of the 1940s and 1950s is a primary example of Neocharismatic development. The theology of E.W. Kenyon — originally a Methodist-turned-Baptist healing evangelist who died in 1948 — provided the foundational framework for what became the Word of Faith movement through Kenneth Hagin and Kenneth Copeland. One can trace a recognizable trajectory from the Pentecostal emphasis on divine healing and victory over sin to the Word of Faith's distinctive doctrines of material blessing and confessional power. However, the unique constellation of ideas in Word of Faith theology, including elements with roots in New Thought, warrants treating it as a distinct Neocharismatic development rather than simply a variation on classical Pentecostalism.

As pastors and teachers, we must handle this stream with both grace and theological discernment. The instinct toward wholeness and blessing that underlies prosperity theology

is not wrong — it is genuinely biblical. But when it loses its eschatological reservation, when it forgets that we are still pilgrims in a fallen world awaiting the fullness of redemption, it produces a theology that is more American success culture than New Testament Christianity. The cross must never be evacuated from the center of our gospel.

Chapter Five: Understanding the New Apostolic Reformation and Independent Networks

Perhaps no portion of the Pentecostal-Charismatic landscape has generated more confusion — both among outsiders and within the movement itself — than the independent network movements, and specifically what Peter Wagner labeled the New Apostolic Reformation (NAR). Responsible scholarship requires that we neither reflexively defend nor indiscriminately condemn these expressions, but that we understand them clearly in their proper historical context.

The roots of independent network Christianity go back to the immediate post-World War II era, with two major streams emerging in the late 1940s. The first was the healing evangelism movement, associated with figures like Oral Roberts, A.A. Allen, William Branham, and Arturo Skinner. These ministries operated largely through independent ministerial associations rather than denominational structures. It is important to note their theological diversity: Roberts began as a Pentecostal before evolving toward a Charismatic identity; Skinner came out of Pentecostalism to found the Brooklyn Deliverance Center in 1958, with its striking visual theology of Christ incarnated in the form of a Black man; Branham was never a classical Pentecostal and his theology eventually drifted into serious doctrinal error, including a heretical version of the Manifested Sons of God doctrine.

The second major stream was the Latter Rain Movement (LRM), centered at Sharon Bible School in North Battleford, Saskatchewan, Canada. The early LRM leaders, including George and Ernest Hawtin, were deeply impacted by Branham's revival meetings in Vancouver in 1947. The movement spread rapidly, with Bethesda Missionary Temple in Detroit — led by the remarkable Myrtle "Mom" Beale, an AG-licensed minister who ultimately left the denomination rather than abandon LRM teaching — becoming one of its most significant centers. From LRM came an emphasis on restored apostles and prophets, on the laying on of hands for the impartation of spiritual gifts, and on a triumphalist eschatology that would prove enormously influential in subsequent Neocharismatic networks.

Out of these streams emerged figures who would shape the movement's next phase. Gordon Lindsay founded The Voice of Healing magazine and later Christ for the Nations, which continues to train ministry leaders today. Chuck Smith's Calvary Chapel Costa Mesa, born from Smith's departure from the Foursquare Church in 1965 and energized by his partnership with the Jesus People Movement evangelist Lonnie Frisbee, became a generative center from which the Vineyard movement eventually emerged through Kenn Gulliksen and then John Wimber.

By the mid-1970s, the landscape of independent and network Christianity was extraordinarily complex: networks connected to LRM theology, Word of Faith networks, healing evangelist networks, new Charismatic networks like New Wine, Catholic Charismatic networks, and the emerging evangelical-Charismatic movements associated with figures like Pat Robertson — a Southern Baptist who became Charismatic through the influence of Harold Bredesen.

Into this landscape in the late 1970s came Peter Wagner, John Wimber, and Mike Bickle — all of them originally evangelicals who were initially skeptical of charismatic claims. Their subsequent journeys into P-C Christianity — and the differences in where those journeys took them — are instructive. Wimber became perhaps the most significant bridge-builder between the evangelical and charismatic worlds in the late twentieth century, with his influence touching Randy Clark, Mike Bickle, and hundreds of other leaders during the height of his ministry. The Toronto Blessing revival of the 1990s, catalyzed through Randy Clark's ministry, had far more to do with Wimber's apostolic influence than with Peter Wagner.

The NAR proper is most accurately understood as a specific series of networks closely associated with Peter Wagner's distinctive theological development in the 1990s and 2000s, particularly his emphasis on "territorial spirits" in spiritual warfare and his articulation of a fivefold governmental model centered on restored apostles and prophets. To label every independent or network Neocharismatic ministry as "NAR" — as some critical scholars have done — is to commit a category error that distorts rather than illuminates. Ché Ahn in California has largely assumed Peter Wagner's leadership role within the NAR network proper; Randy Clark's Global Awakening and Mike Bickle's International House of Prayer, while organizationally overlapping with NAR networks, maintain important theological distinctions.

As evangelical Pentecostal-Charismatic pastors and scholars, we must model the kind of careful, nuanced engagement with these realities that honors truth and serves the body of Christ. Neither the reflexive defense that refuses any critique, nor the fearful condemnation that lumps all independent network Christianity into a single problematic category, serves our people well.

Chapter Six: Looking Ahead — New Wineskins for New Wine

We come now to the question that presses most urgently upon us: How do we carry this magnificent, flawed, Spirit-breathed movement faithfully and fruitfully into the twenty-first century? How do we honor the past without being imprisoned by it? How do we remain genuinely open to new expressions of the Spirit's work without losing our theological bearings?

The Lord Jesus himself gave us the hermeneutical key in Matthew 13:52, when He described the trained disciple of the Kingdom as one who "bringeth forth out of his treasure things new and old." We are called to be guardians of sacred memory and pioneers of sacred future simultaneously. This is a difficult posture to maintain. The pressures of institutionalism pull us toward mere preservation; the pressures of novelty pull us toward a rootless experimentation that mistakes the newest thing for the best thing. The Kingdom requires both the old and the new — held in creative, Spirit-governed tension.

> *"Neither do men put new wine into old bottles: else the bottles break, and the wine runneth out, and the bottles perish: but they put new wine into new bottles, and both are preserved." — Matthew 9:17 (KJV)*

What We Must Carry Forward

First, we must carry forward our absolute insistence on genuine encounter with the living God. In an age when the global church faces the twin threats of cultural capitulation and technological distraction, the Pentecostal-Charismatic insistence on experiential, transforming encounter with the Holy Spirit is not a liability — it is a prophetic gift to the whole church. We must

not trade our altars for aesthetics, our prayer meetings for programs, or our hunger for God for the hollow satisfaction of religious productivity.

Second, we must carry forward our commitment to Spirit-empowered mission. The demographic evidence is unambiguous: the fastest-growing expressions of Christianity in the Global South are overwhelmingly Pentecostal and Charismatic. The African, Asian, and Latin American expressions of our movement are not derivative copies of their Western predecessors — they are mature, culturally rooted expressions of the same Spirit who fell at Azusa. We must learn from them as much as we seek to resource them.

Third, we must carry forward our theological memory — particularly our memory of the costly lessons learned through our movement's failures and errors. The dangers of spiritual pride, of personality-driven ministry without accountability, of eschatological speculation detached from ethical responsibility, of prosperity theology unmoored from the theology of the cross — these are not merely academic concerns. They are pastoral urgencies born of bitter experience. Those who forget history are condemned to repeat it.

What New Expressions We Must Embrace

At the same time, fidelity to our own tradition demands that we remain radically open to new expressions of the Spirit's work. Our founders did not simply preserve what they had received; they broke the molds of their day to receive something new. Seymour broke the racial molds of Parham's segregated Bible school to host an integrated revival on Azusa Street. The early Pentecostals broke the molds of denominational respectability to receive an experience their contemporaries mocked. If we are to remain Pentecostal in spirit and not merely in name, we must be willing to do the same.

The fastest-growing dimension of our movement is the independent network sector — and this is not accidental. In a culture increasingly suspicious of institutions, the relational, network-based model of Christian community speaks with particular power. The emerging generation of believers is not asking for denominational credentials; they are asking for authentic community, genuine encounter, and meaningful mission. Our task is not to defend our institutional structures but to ensure that whatever structures we maintain genuinely serve the Spirit's purposes.

We must also be willing to engage seriously with the global re-centering of Christianity. The days when the Global South simply received theological formation from the Global North are over. The churches of Nigeria, Ghana, Brazil, South Korea, and China are not mission fields — they are mission forces. They carry theological insights, spiritual gifts, and cultural wisdom that the Western church desperately needs. The Pentecostal-Charismatic movement, with its genuinely global DNA, is uniquely positioned to model the kind of mutually enriching, cross-cultural theological partnership that the next Christendom will require.

Furthermore, we must not be afraid of the intellectual labor of theological construction. One of the historic weaknesses of our tradition has been a certain anti-intellectualism — a suspicion of careful scholarship that has too often left our people vulnerable to theological error and our critics unanswered. The movement has produced serious scholars, and their work must be honored, supported, and brought into genuine dialogue with the pastoral and prophetic dimensions of our life together. A robust Pentecostal theology that is both academically credible and spiritually alive is not only possible — it is urgently necessary.

Conclusion: This Is Our Moment

.We are living at a moment of extraordinary historical significance. The global Pentecostal-Charismatic movement stands at the threshold of becoming the dominant

expression of Christianity in the twenty-first century. This is not a boast — it is a responsibility. With 650 million souls and counting, with the trajectory toward one billion by mid-century, the question before us is not whether we will shape global Christianity. The question is what shape we will give it.

Will we give it the shape of the book of Acts — the wind and fire of genuine Spirit baptism, the bold proclamation of the Risen Christ, the miraculous signs that accompany the Word, the diverse community that transcends every ethnic and cultural boundary, the costly discipleship that takes up the cross and follows Jesus into every dark corner of human need?

Or will we give it the shape of our failures — the celebrity culture, the financial corruption, the theological shallowness, the racial and gender divisions we have not yet fully overcome, the prosperity gospel that flatters the comfortable and abandons the poor?

The answer will depend in large measure on whether we are willing to do the hard, prayerful, intellectually honest work of understanding our own history — celebrating what the Spirit has genuinely done, repenting for our genuine failures, and holding with open hands those new expressions of the Spirit's work that He is even now preparing to pour out.

Wimber's question was right. We must do the stuff. But we must know what the stuff is — rooted in Scripture, tested by history, empowered by the Spirit, and always, always oriented toward the glory of the Risen Christ and the fullness of His Kingdom on earth as in heaven.

The best days of the Pentecostal-Charismatic movement are not behind us. They are ahead. But they will only come if we have the wisdom to learn from our past and the courage to follow the Spirit into our future.

May the God of our fathers — of Seymour and McPherson, of Mason and Ramabai, of Wigglesworth and Bonnke, and of the countless unnamed men and women who prayed and wept and believed and gave — fill us afresh with His Spirit for the great work that lies before us.

> *"Behold, I will do a new thing; now it shall spring forth; shall ye not know it? I will even make a way in the wilderness, and rivers in the desert." — Isaiah 43:19 (KJV)*